## **Andrew Cormie**

From: Andrew Cormie [andrew@zembu.plus.com]

**Sent:** 03 July 2017 20:34

To: 'Theresa May (mayt@parliament.uk)'

Cc: 'martint@baylismedia.co.uk'

Subject: FW: RBWM Borough Local Development Plan

Importance: High

Attachments: Preparation of a local plan.pdf; RBWM HRA b 170627.pdf; RBWM HRA a 170627.pdf







Preparation of a RBWM\_HRA\_b\_170 RBWM\_HRA\_a\_170 local plan.pd... 627.pdf (340 KB)... 627.pdf (349 KB)...

Dear Theresa.

Would you please urgently act to have your appropriate Government Minister confirm that during the consultations on a Borough Council's Local Development Plan there is no limitation at the second stage - (so-called Regulation 19) as to what topics of the plan residents may comment against.

In this regard - please see;

The attached copy of a two sided letter from RBWM Council to the Holyport Residents Association.

See below my emailed response to RBWM Council.

See my abstract from the appropriate Statutory Instrument.

See also the documents at the links in the email below, which show that in the Cotswolds the Council makes it clear that residents may comment on any aspect of the plan.

I draw attention to two important extracts from the Cotswolds FAQ document:

"Representations will be passed to the Inspector and it is recommended that comments are clear, concise and targeted. Whilst respondents are free to comment as they choose, to have greatest influence at this stage it is advisable that representations should relate to the soundness of the Submission Draft Local Plan or to its compliance with legal requirements. These are explained below. ..."

## AND

"I made comments on the two 2015 Regulation 18 Local Plan consultations, do I need to comment again?

The Council is not able to carry forward any comments made on previous consultations. Therefore any comments previously submitted that you think have not been resolved would need to be submitted again as part of this consultation."

What this is saying is that if someone making a response at Reg 18 was not satisfied with what the Council has done in considering it adequately, the response can be made again.

It also clear that if something new is included in Reg 19 e.g. HA49 (DTC Research, Belmont Road, Maidenhead) and HA50 (Grove Business Park, White Waltham) replacing HA08 (Triangle site) and HA27 (Territorial Army Centre, Bolton Road, Windsor), this can also be commented on in the consultation.

I expect that your appropriate Minister will confirm that I am correct, and that you will take action to immediately stop RBWM Council's consultation and have the latter begin again making clear to us all the true scope of the consultation; further that you will ensure that it is made clear to all Borough Councils that there is no "Regulation 19" limitation as to the scope of comments.

I also believe that action must be taken against RBWM Council (except for those Councillors who voted against the current Local Development Plan) due to their continued assertions that the comments at the Regulation 19 stage are to be limited as stated in the letter I attach.

Please do not ask RBWM to respond to me on this matter.

Sincerely,

Andrew Cormie, Old Pines, Holyport Road, Maidenhead, Berkshire SL6 2HA

-----Original Message-----

From: Andrew Cormie [mailto:andrew@zembu.plus.com]

Sent: 03 July 2017 09:45

To: 'Jenifer.Jackson@RBWM.gov.uk'

Cc: 'Cllr D Wilson'; 'martint@baylismedia.co.uk' Subject: RBWM Borough Local Development Plan

Dear Ms Jackson,

Regarding the letter you have sent to me inviting me to comment, but limiting my comments to certain aspects, I do not agree that you may limit comments as you have inferred.

See;

http://www.cotswold.gov.uk/media/1410288/Local-plan-draft-consultation-FAQs-June-2016.pdf

http://www.cotswold.gov.uk/media/1474034/FAQs-12012017.pdf

Where it is made clear that any comments may be made.

"Representations will be passed to the Inspector and it is recommended that comments are clear, concise and targeted. Whilst respondents are free to comment as they choose, to have greatest influence at this stage it is advisable that representations should relate to the soundness of the Submission Draft Local Plan or to its compliance with legal requirements."

The attachment is abstracted from a Statutory Instrument, and I see no indication there that limits comments at any stage.

If I am correct, then by attempting to limit the scope of residents comments you are surely contravening your own point No 1 in your recent letter.

Sincerely,

Andrew Cormie, Old Pines, Holyport Road, Maidenhead, Berkshire SL6 2HA