

A4 Site profiles for sites proposed for allocation

Where comments relate to the site profiles contained in Appendix 4 of the Draft Local Plan, these have been summarised against the relevant sites listed in Policy LP4 (section 6.2) of the Draft Local Plan, in order that comments relating to sites are covered in one location.

NUMBER OF COMMENTS MADE BY TYPE OF RESPONDENT	SUMMARY OF MAIN ISSUES RAISED
STATUTORY CONSULTEE / DUTY TO COOPERATE BODY	
	No Comments received
RESIDENTS AND OTHER LOCAL ORGANISATIONS/GROUPS	
434 Murphy	Jealott's Hill: Overall, many requirements are unachievable and/or insufficiently specified. They do not protect from inappropriate development. Development guided by these requirements is unacceptable.
434 Murphy	Jealott's Hill requirements: Note 'consultation' is not included. This is a requirement at all stages for a garden community (MHCLG 2018 Garden Communities, para. 12). Absence here clearly shows LP 7 is not a garden community proposal or policy. Therefore inappropriate to use the 'garden' label anywhere in Plan.
434 Murphy	Jealott's Hill requirements bullet 4 (GB compensation): inappropriate to stipulate "a package of compensatory improvements" without first establishing whether it is possible and elaborating what it might comprise if it is. Rules out allocation.
434 Murphy	Jealott's Hill requirements bullet 5 (habitat regs): site not included in Habitats Regulations Assessment. Who would do this work, including in-combination assessment for development, including projected business growth, for this Plan period and the next? The wording refers largely to TBH SPA and SANG provisions; air quality and the Windsor Forest and Great Park SAC by allusion only.
434 Murphy	Jealott's Hill requirements bullets 7 and 8: disingenuous to include when evidence base in SA indicates "routes to the site are physically restricted which may limit the potential to achieve sustainable transport improvements". Rules out allocation.
434 Murphy	Jealott's Hill requirements bullet 12: 1) Replace 'protect and enhance' with 'deliver net gain in'. Starting points would be: (i) the biodiversity that should be present in clay farmland managed under environmental schemes, and (ii) whether biodiversity net gain is therefore achievable. Rules out allocation.
434 Murphy	Jealott's Hill requirements bullet 14: acknowledged that visual impact will be "reduced" only. Insufficient. Rules out allocation
434 Murphy	Jealott's Hill requirements bullet 15 and 16: note already an 8 km network of 10 established rural PRoW within the site. Should stipulate protecting rural nature of GI/PRoW (e.g. LP Part 2, para. 18.2.6). Not achievable. Rules out allocation.
434 Murphy	Jealott's Hill requirements bullet 18: Inadequate wording. Undeliverable requirement (SA cumulative impact for SA4a landscape impact). Rules out allocation.
434 Murphy	Jealott's Hill requirements bullet 22: unnecessary loss of high-quality farmland is not justified. Climate change and food security impact. Rules out allocation
434 Murphy	Jealott's Hill requirements bullet 23: the site should not be considered until Environmental Impact known. Rules out allocation.
336 BBWOT	All sites should refer to biodiversity net gain.
477 Warfield Environmental Group, 921 R Wallen	Jealott's Hill Requirements bullet 16: revise to ".... Retention of important existing trees, copses and tree belts..."
477 Warfield Environmental Group, 921 R Wallen, 860 C Wallen	Jealott's Hill Requirements bullet 12: should refer to following as important for biodiversity - existing copses (eg Wellers Copse), Drown Boy Pond, mature 450 tree species rich orchard within the Community Landshare site
921 R Wallen, 860 C Wallen	Bullet 2 - The illustrative concept plan is incorrect. Copses are missing. Orchard area on Jealott's Hill Community Landshare site should be shown and protected.
DEVELOPERS / PROMOTERS OF SITES	
766 Wilson Development	Part 1: (BIN12) The last bullet under 'Requirements' should state " <u>where possible</u> " the site should improve the biodiversity value of the site and connectivity to the wider area, to provide flexibility in incorporating new vegetation.
PROMOTERS OF SITE	